

FINAL

Alternatives Formulation Report

Rio Grande Canalization Project

**PREPARED FOR: International Boundary and Water Commission,
United States Section**

March 2001

**PREPARED BY: Parsons Engineering Science, Inc.
Austin, Texas**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	ix
SECTION 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1-1
SECTION 2 INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.1 Background.....	2-1
2.2 Environmental Assessment of the Project.....	2-3
2.3 Purpose and Need for the Project	2-4
2.4 Report Organization.....	2-5
SECTION 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	3-1
3.1 Location and Physical Description.....	3-1
3.1.1 Water Delivery.....	3-1
3.1.2 Flood Protection.....	3-4
3.1.3 Other Structures in the USIBWC Right-of-way.....	3-7
3.1.4 Watershed of Canalization Project	3-8
3.2 Operation and Maintenance Activities	3-9
3.2.1 Maintenance of Pilot Channel.....	3-9
3.2.2 Maintenance of Floodways	3-11
3.2.3 Maintenance of Levees	3-12
3.2.4 Maintenance of Drains and Siphons	3-14
3.2.5 Maintenance of American Diversion Dam.....	3-14
3.2.6 Maintenance of NRCS Dams.....	3-15
3.3 Habitat Enhancement Measures.....	3-15
3.3.1 No-Mow Zones.....	3-15
3.3.2 Tree Planting.....	3-15
3.3.3 Aquatic Habitat.....	3-16
3.4 Environmental Characteristics.....	3-16
3.4.1 Vegetation - Predevelopment Period	3-18
3.4.2 Hydrology.....	3-18
3.4.3 Geomorphic Characteristics.....	3-19
3.4.4 Current Vegetation Communities and Classification System.....	3-19
3.5 Ecosystem Degradation.....	3-24
3.5.1 Hydrologic Modifications.....	3-24

3.5.2	Dam Construction.....	3-24
3.5.3	Canalization.....	3-24
3.5.4	Channel Straightening.....	3-25
3.5.5	Floodplain Reduction.....	3-25
3.5.6	Modification of Sedimentation Processes	3-25
3.5.7	Land Use Changes	3-26
3.5.8	Invasive Species.....	3-27
SECTION 4 FORMULATION OF ALTERNATIVES		4-1
4.1	Formulation and Selection Process	4-1
4.2	Identification of Potential Actions.....	4-3
4.2.1	Initial List of Actions to Modify Management Practices	4-3
4.2.2	Rearrangement and Consolidation of Actions	4-3
4.2.3	Preliminary Matrix of Alternatives	4-5
4.3	Initial Screening.....	4-6
4.3.1	General Screening Criteria	4-6
4.3.2	Screening of Alternatives	4-9
4.3.3	Revised Matrix of Alternatives.....	4-10
SECTION 5 DEVELOPING ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA AND MEASURES		5-1
5.1	River Restoration and Enhancement Approach.....	5-1
5.1.1	Terminology and Concepts.....	5-2
5.1.2	Functions of Riparian Systems	5-4
5.2	Potential for Restoration and Enhancement	5-5
5.2.1	Restoration and Enhancement Within Context of the USIBWC Mission.....	5-5
5.2.2	Related Restoration Actions in Arid Environments	5-7
5.2.3	Work Within a Watershed Context.....	5-9
5.3	Environmental Goals	5-10
5.4	Environmental Measures	5-11
5.4.1	Uplands, Riparian and Wetland Habitat Units	5-12
5.4.2	Aquatic Habitat Units	5-13
5.4.3	Assignment of Habitat Unit Weights.....	5-14
SECTION 6 POTENTIAL ENHANCEMENT AND RESTORATION LOCATIONS		6-1
6.1	Management Units.....	6-1
6.1.1	The Concept of Management Units.....	6-1

6.1.2	Characterization of the Management Units	6-3
6.2	Description of River Management Units.....	6-3
6.2.1	Upper Rincon Valley River Management Unit.....	6-3
6.2.2	Lower Rincon Valley River Management Unit.....	6-5
6.2.3	Seldon Canyon River Management Unit.....	6-6
6.2.4	Upper Mesilla Valley River Management Unit.....	6-8
6.2.5	Las Cruces River Management Unit.....	6-9
6.2.6	Lower Mesilla Valley River Management Unit	6-10
6.2.7	El Paso River Management Unit	6-12
6.3	Potential Enhancement and Restoration Locations	6-13
6.3.1	Site Selection.....	6-13
6.3.2	Upper Rincon Valley River Management Unit.....	6-15
6.3.3	Lower Rincon Valley River Management Unit.....	6-23
6.3.4	Seldon Canyon River Management Unit.....	6-27
6.3.5	Upper Mesilla Valley River Management Unit.....	6-28
6.3.6	Las Cruces River Management Unit.....	6-29
6.3.7	Lower Mesilla Valley River Management Unit	6-31
6.3.8	El Paso River Management Unit	6-34
6.4	Significant Site Groupings (Beads).....	6-37
SECTION 7 DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES		7-1
7.1	Alternative 1 - Current Operation (No Action)	7-1
7.2	Alternative 2 - Selective Operation and Maintenance Modification.....	7-4
7.3	Alternative 3 - Integrated USIBWC Land Management.....	7-5
7.4	Alternative 4 - Targeted River Restoration.....	7-6
7.5	Alternative 5 - Management Unit Multipurpose Watershed Management	7-7
SECTION 8 PROJECT FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION.....		8-1
8.1	Previous USACE Model.....	8-1
8.1.1	Hydrologic Modeling.....	8-1
8.1.2	Conclusions and Recommendations	8-3
8.2	Modeling of Enhancements.....	8-4
8.2.1	Revisions to USACE Model Cross Sections	8-4
8.2.3	Channel Roughness Coefficient	8-4
8.2.4	Model Results for Enhancements and Conclusion.....	8-5
8.2.5	Comparison with Current Conditions	8-7
8.3	Flood Control Remedies and Recommendations	8-7

8.4	Assumptions and Limitations of the Model.....	8-9
SECTION 9 ALTERNATIVE SELECTION		9-1
9.1	Preferred Alternative Selection Process	9-1
9.1.1	Alternatives and Objectives.....	9-1
9.1.2	Impact on USIBWC Mission.....	9-1
9.1.3	Environmental Restoration and Enhancement.....	9-2
9.1.4	Feasibility of Implementation.....	9-3
9.1.5	Logical Decisions Analysis	9-10
9.2	Preferred River Management Alternative	9-18
9.2.1	Description and Summary of Rationale.....	9-18
9.2.2	Additional Actions	9-19
9.2.3	Adaptive Management Plan.....	9-19
SECTION 10 REFERENCES		10-1

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Aerial Photgrphs of Enhancement Sites
Appendix B	Actions for Modified River Management
Appendix C	Flood Modeling Cross Section Data
Appendix D	Cost Analysis Tables
Appendix E	Rio Grande Canalization Project Area

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	NEPA Process.....	2-2
Figure 3.1	Rio Grande Canalization Project Area.....	3-2
Figure 3.2	Rio Grande Bed Slope and Elevation.....	3-3
Figure 3.3	Average Rio Grande Flow Below Percha Dam.....	3-3
Figure 3.4	Rio Grande Water Velocities with Irrigation Flows.....	3-3
Figure 3.5	Photograph of Leasburg Dam.....	3-5
Figure 3.6	Photograph of Mesilla Dam.....	3-5
Figure 3.7	Photograph of Boulder Dam Protection Hatch Siphon.....	3-6
Figure 3.8	Photograph of Levee and Floodway.....	3-6
Figure 3.9	Photograph of USIBWC Mowing.....	3-13
Figure 3.10	Photograph of Pole Planting.....	3-13
Figure 3.11	Photograph of Rock Groin.....	3-17
Figure 3.12	Vortex Weir	3-17
Figure 4.1	Alternatives Formulation Procedure.....	4-2
Figure 5.1	Development of Riparian System.....	5-6
Figure 6.1	Location of Management Units	6-2
Figure 8.1	Design Flows for Irrigation and 100-Year Flood	8-2
Figure 8.2	Schematic of Hydraulic Model Results	8-6
Figure 9.1	Logical Decisions Goals and Criteria	9-12
Figure 9.2	Percent Contribution of Individual Criteria in the Analysis.....	9-15
Figure 9.3	Ranking of Alternatives with Logical Decisions [®]	9-16
Figure 9.4	Benefit Comparison Between Alternatives 3 and 4.....	9-17

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Enhancement Sites	1-4
Table 1.2	Costs for Alternatives.....	1-6
Table 3.1	Bridges Crossing Canalization Project.....	3-7
Table 3.2	Drainage Areas Flowing to Canalization Project.....	3-8
Table 3.3	Sediment Load for Major Drainage Areas Flowing to Canalization Project.....	3-10
Table 3.4	Floodway Acreage Leased in the Canalization Project.....	3-12
Table 3.5	Estimated Canalization Project Land Cover Totals in USIBWC Right-of-Way (Includes Seldon Canyon River Channel).....	3-20
Table 4.1	Summary of Management Issues Identified During Public Scoping.	4-4
Table 4.3	Trends for Flood Control and Environmental Enhancement.	4-8
Table 4.4	Summary of Scoring for Alternatives by Criteria	4-11
Table 4.5	Revised Matrix of Alternatives.....	4-12
Table 5.1	Cross Reference of Actions by Type of Environmental Goal.....	5-11
Table 5.2	WHAP Values for Major Vegetation Communities Based on Field Surveys.....	5-12
Table 5.3	Habitat Types Used for Alternatives Analysis and Estimated WHAP Values.....	5-13
Table 5.4	Habitat Types Used for Alternatives Analysis and Estimated WHAP/HEP Values	5-14
Table 6.1	Management Units and Goals	6-1
Table 6.2	Summary of Environmental Goals and Potential Improvements for the Upper Rincon Valley River Management Unit.....	6-5
Table 6.3	Summary of Environmental Goals and Potential Improvements for the Lower Rincon Valley River Management Unit	6-6
Table 6.4	Summary of Environmental Goals and Potential Improvements for the Seldon Canyon River Management Unit	6-8
Table 6.5	Summary of Environmental Goals and Potential Improvements for the Upper Mesilla Valley River Management Unit	6-9
Table 6.6	Summary of Environmental Goals and Potential Improvements for the Las Cruces River Management Unit	6-10
Table 6.7	Summary of Environmental Goals and Potential Improvements for the Lower Mesilla Valley River Management Unit.....	6-11
Table 6.8	Summary of Environmental Goals and Potential Improvements for the El Paso Management Unit	6-13
Table 6.9	Site Summary.....	6-13

Table 6.10	Habitat Beads	6-38
Table 7.1	Potential Enhancement Actions and Selected Sites for Each Alternative	7-2
Table 7.2	Habitat Areas for Alternative 1	7-4
Table 7.3	Habitat Areas for Alternative 2	7-5
Table 7.4	Habitat Areas for Alternative 3	7-6
Table 7.5	Habitat Areas for Alternative 4	7-7
Table 7.6	Habitat Areas for Alternative 5	7-8
Table 8.1	Design Flows for Irrigation and 100-Year Flood.....	8-2
Table 8.2	Manning's "n" Values.....	8-4
Table 8.3	HEC-RAS Model Results for the 100-Year Flood Conditions With Enhancements.....	8-5
Table 8.4	HEC-RAS Model Results for the 100-Year Flood Conditions Changes Due to Enhancements.....	8-7
Table 8.5	Flood Control Measures for Deficient Levees	8-8
Table 8.6	Candidate Flood Control Sites	8-9
Table 9.1	Alternatives and River Management Objectives.....	9-1
Table 9.2	Habitat Area for Each Alternative.....	9-3
Table 9.3	Unit Capital Costs	9-4
Table 9.4	Capital Costs for Each Alternative	9-5
Table 9.5	Water Usage Unit Rates	9-5
Table 9.6	Annual Water Usage for Each Alternative.....	9-7
Table 9.7	Operation and Maintenance Costs for Each Alternative.....	9-7
Table 9.8	Operation and Maintenance Unit Costs	9-7
Table 9.9	Summary of Costs for Each Alternative	9-10
Table 9.10	Scores for the Project Alternatives.....	9-13
Table 9.11	Weights Assigned to Goals and Criteria	9-14
Table 9.12	Sensitivity Analysis for Weighting of Evaluation Goals	9-17
Table 9.13	Alternative 3 Sites Ranked by Total Cost	9-21
Table 9.14	Alternative 3 Sites Ranked by Cost per Habitat Unit.....	9-22
Table 9.15	Alternative 3 Sites Ranked by Annual Water Use	9-23

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	Best management practices
cfs	cubic feet per second
cm	centimeter
EBID	Elephant Butte Irrigation District
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ft	feet
GRF	Gradient restoration facility
HEP	Habitat evaluation procedure
HSI	Habitat suitability index
HU	Habitat unit
km	kilometer
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NM	New Mexico
NMGF	New Mexico Game and Fish Department
NRCS	Natural Resource Conservation Service
O&M	Operation and maintenance
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SWEC	Southwest Environmental Center
Parsons ES	Parsons Engineering Science, Inc.
the “Project”	Rio Grande Canalization Project
TPWD	Texas Parks & Wildlife Department
TX	Texas
U.S.	United States
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USBR	United States Bureau of Reclamation
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
USIBWC	United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission
WHAP	Wildlife habitat appraisal procedure